

PAS 24:2022 - Enhanced Security Performance Requirements for Doorsets and Windows in the UK

Vista Panels

Test Report No. R4791793030-1

2 December 2025





Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Summary of Results	4
3.	Description of Test Sample	5
4.	Test Arrangement.....	9
5.	Test Procedures	11
6.	Test Results.....	12
7.	System Drawings.....	14



1. Introduction

Test Details	
Customer:	Vista Panels Unit H1 Prenton Way North Cheshire Trading Estate WIRRAL CH43 3DU GB
Test witnessed by:	Pip Anger <i>Vista Panels</i> Huw Rogers <i>ILH</i> Sonny Lewis <i>Vista Panels</i> Josh Jewell <i>Vista Panels</i>
Product tested:	Vista Panels, Xtreme and Dales Range Composite Doors - Single door (with OUM4 / MXS 15/2/TB Thresholds)
Date(s) sample(s) received:	29 th September 2025
Date of test:	6 th October 2025
Test conducted at:	UL International (UK) Limited Halesfield 2 Telford Shropshire TF7 4QH
Test conducted by:	S Ward <i>Engineering Technician</i> D Whittall <i>Laboratory Assistant</i> J Newton <i>Laboratory Assistant</i>

Report Authorisation	
Report compiled by:	S Ward <i>Engineering Technician</i> 
Authorised by:	E Round <i>Laboratory Engineer</i> 

UL International (UK) Limited, Unit 1-4 Horizon, Kingsland Business Park, Wade Road, Basingstoke, Hampshire RG24 8AH, is accredited by the United Kingdom Accreditation Service as UKAS Testing Laboratory No. 5772.



REPRODUCTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IN WHOLE OR ANY PART THEREOF MUST NOT BE MADE WITHOUT PRIOR WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM UL INTERNATIONAL (UK) LIMITED.

This report and the results shown within are based upon the information, drawings, samples and tests referred to in the report. The results obtained only apply to the sample tested and do not necessarily relate to samples from the production line of the above-named company and in no way constitute any form of representation or warranty as to the performance or quality of any products supplied or to be supplied by them. UL International (UK) Limited or its employees accept no liability for any damages, charges, cost or expenses in respect of or in relation to any damage to any property or other loss whatsoever arising either directly or indirectly from the use of the report.

UL International (UK) Telford Laboratory is authorised to act as a UK Approved/Notified Laboratory n. 0843 under the UKCA system and Northern Ireland provisions for the activities covered by this Report according to BS EN 14351-1:2006+A2:2016. The Approved/Notified Body number shall be used only when and, in the manner, authorized by the Approved/Notified Body. The Customer agrees that the Approved/Notified Body shall retain the right to control the use of the Report and Approved/Notified Laboratory number. If copies of Report documentation are provided to others it shall be reproduced in their entirety. Customer agrees that the promotion of its product utilizing the name, Report, or Approved/Notified Laboratory number of UL would mislead the public if such product is not covered by a Report issued by the Notified Laboratory; does not comply with the Applicable Requirements and applicable laws, regulations, and standards; or is used in any way not authorised by UL.



2. Summary of Results

The following summarises the results of testing carried out, in accordance with PAS 24:2022.

The performance of the sample tested has been assessed against the criteria described in the standards below.

Test Description	Sample Tested (ID No.)	Test Sheet reference	Result	Test Date
A.3.3 – Security hardware & cylinder test – Part 3	1	TS 001	Pass	6 th October 2025
B.4.4.3 – Infill – mechanical test	1	TS 001	Pass	6 th October 2025
B.4.5 – Mechanical loading test	1	TS 001	Pass	6 th October 2025

More comprehensive details are reported in section 6.

Note: *These results are valid only for the conditions under which the test was conducted.*

All measurement devices, instruments and other relevant equipment were calibrated and traceable to National Standards.

2.1 Decision rule

Classifications reported in section 6 indicate that the product conforms with the relevant accuracy requirements of section B.3 and C.3 of PAS 24:2022.

2.2 Measurement uncertainty

The results as reported in this test report are not accounting measurement of uncertainty as no numerical values were recorded during the test.



3. Description of Test Sample

The details shown in section 3 and drawings shown in section 7 have been supplied by and confirmed as typical of normal production by Vista Panels and have not been verified by UL International (UK) Limited.

See Section 7 for test sample drawings as provided by the customer.

General Information	
Product range name:	Vista Panels, Xtreme and Dales Composite Doors
Project name to appear on front page of the test report:	Vista Panels, Xtreme and Dales Composite Doors
Configuration:	Single Door-Set
Opening direction:	Lef Hand Opening In
Product manufacturer:	Vista Panels
The sample is typical of normal production:	Yes
Please define the closing condition of the sample:	Latched & Locked Centrally, Hooks engaged Top & Bottom

Outer Frame			
Height:	2117 including PVC Cill	Outer frame gasket	Co-ex to EWS7031
Width:	1034mm	Gasket type:	Flipper
Outer frame material:	PVC	Manufacturer:	Eurocell
Surface finish	Smooth	Product name:	n/a
Outer frame Part Numbers		Product code:	n/a
Top:	EWS7031 5.3 WQL Profile	Threshold	
Bottom:	AC85646W Cill	Manufacturer:	Exitex
Lock side:	EWS7031 5.3 WQL Profile	Product name:	MXS15/2 - RITB
Hinge side:	EWS7031 5.3 WQL Profile	Product code:	1.01.0760.1050.15
Outer frame section size		Material:	Aluminium
Width:	70mm	Outer frame joint method	
Depth:	80mm	Head:	Welded
Reinforcing:		Foot:	Screwed On
Manufacturer:	Eurocell		
Product name:	Large Composite Door Frame Insert		
Product code:	EWS831P		
Material:	Re-cycled PVC		



Leaf, Sash, or Casement - 1			
Width:	914mm	Leaf / casement gasket	
Height:	2013mm	Gasket type:	Flipper – Co-ex to Profile
Material:	GRP Composite Slab	Manufacturer:	Eurocell
Surface finish:	GEL Coat – Wood Grained Finish	Product name:	n/a
Leaf / casement part numbers		Product code:	n/a
Top:	DoorCo Original Slab	Leaf midrail	
Bottom:		Manufacturer:	n/a
Lock side:		Product name:	n/a
Hinge side:		Product code:	n/a
Leaf / casement section size		Material:	
Width:	n/a	Leaf / casement joint method	
Depth:	n/a	Head:	n/a
Reinforcing	n/a	Foot:	n/a
Manufacturer:	DoorCo		
Product name:	Combi Door Slab		
Product code:	914 4/6P WH		
Material:	GRP		

Leaf, Sash, or Casement - 2			
Width:	914mm	Leaf / casement gasket	
Height:	2013mm	Gasket type:	Flipper – Co-ex to Profile
Material:	GRP Composite Slab	Manufacturer:	Eurocell
Surface finish:	GEL Coat – Wood Grained Finish	Product name:	n/a
Leaf / casement part numbers		Product code:	n/a
Top:	DoorCo Original Slab	Leaf midrail	
Bottom:		Manufacturer:	n/a
Lock side:		Product name:	n/a
Hinge side:		Product code:	n/a
Leaf / casement section size		Material:	
Width:	n/a	Leaf / casement joint method	
Depth:	n/a	Head:	n/a
Reinforcing	n/a	Foot:	n/a
Manufacturer:	DoorCo		
Product name:	Combi Door Slab		



Product code:	914 4/6P WH		
Material:	GRP		

Glazing			
Glass Unit		Glazing gasket	
Manufacturer	Art Glass	Gasket type:	Foam, applied by DoorCo
Spacer Material	Silver Painted Spacer Bar – 17.5mm Air Space x 6.5mm Height – Rear Weld	Manufacturer:	DoorCo
Outer Thickness	26mm	Product name:	Foam
Unit Sizes	26x559x913mm	Product code:	See outer cassette
Bead		Glazing Clip	
Manufacturer	DoorCo	Manufacturer	DoorCo
Product Name	Half Glazed FLiP Cassette	Product Name	10 No Connectors +4 No. Corner Connectors
Product Code	Inner: DCC-HG-WH-V Outer: DCC-HG-WG-F-CC-V	Product Code	DCC-CONNECTORS-INNER-200 DCC-CORNER-INNER-100
Bead Material	PC/ABS	Glazing tape details	
			None

Hardware				
	Manufacturer:	Product description	Product Code	Quantity
Hinges	Nico Manufacturing	White Icon Adjustable Hinge	4961WHF (Chinese Manufacture)	3 (Top, Middle, Bottom)
Hinge Fixing	Rapierstar	Countersunk Screw	CSR 4.8x45z StarPVCU	Each hinge has 4 screws to the slab and 4 screws to the frame
Hinge Protectors	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hinge Protector Fixings	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Locking Hardware	Ingenious Locks and Hardware	Multipoint Lock	1009 Duplex MPL	1
Locking Hardware Fixings	Rapierstar	Countersunk Screw	CFG 4.3x40Z	8
Cylinder	Ingenious Locks and Hardware	Key/Key EPC 1 Star Cylinder	6001-13-3535-SN	1
Cylinder Fixing	Ingenious Locks and Hardware	Countersunk Bolt	M5 65 Z	1
Handle	Ingenious Locks and Hardware	Lever/Lever PVD Chrome Handles	4007-LL-SS-04	1



Handle Fixings	Ingenious Locks and Hardware	Pan-Head Securing Bolts	M5 x 60	2
Touch Bar	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cylinder Support	Ingenious Locks and Hardware	Cylinder Guard	9101	1
Cylinder Escutcheon	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Keeps	Ingenious Locks and Hardware	1009 MPL Keep Set	1141LH or 1141RH + 2 No. 11144	1 of each
Keep Fixings	Rapierstar	Countersunk Screw	CFG 4.3x30Z	2 per Keep
Drip Bar	Exitex	Deflector 20	1.01.0110.0914.30	1
Drip Bar Fixings	Rapierstar	Countersunk Screw	CFG 4.3x20Z	4
Additional Hardware	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

4. Test Arrangement


4.1 Environmental conditioning

The test samples were stored in a non-destructive laboratory environment at a temperature of between 15 – 30°C and between a humidity of 25 – 75% RH for a minimum of 12 hours before, and during, the testing.

4.2 Test rig

The test sample was supplied mounted in a 75x95 mm Timber sub-frame in accordance with manufacturer's installation requirements. The sample was installed into the test rig, which was constructed to meet the requirements of the test specification, and was installed plumb, square and without twist or bends.

Figure 1 – Test rig used for testing



4.3 Mechanical load application

A series of parallel to plane loads and perpendicular to plane loads were applied to the products using hydraulic cylinders. The loads parallel and perpendicular to the plane of the test sample were applied to within a measured accuracy of $\pm 2\%$. Perpendicular-to-plane loads were controlled to an accuracy of $\pm 5\%$, and they were applied within 5° perpendicular to the plane of the sample.

Mechanical loading to the door leaf was applied by a pad with a nominal area of $1,000\text{mm}^2$ with a minor dimension of not less than 20mm.

Mechanical loading to the infill was applied with a pad (as described above) centrally attached to a $150 \times 150 \pm 5$ mm plywood pad with a thickness of not less than 25mm.



4.4 Attack tool groups

The following list of tools were available for use during the relevant manual attack tests. The tools were not used to strike the test sample, or strike one another, nor were they connected in any way to increase the leverage available during any of the manual attack tests.

4.4.1 Tool group A

Section reference	Tool description
A.2.2.1	Assorted mild steel wire
A.2.2.2	Two credit cards
A.2.2.3	Two paint scrapers
A.2.2.4	One craft knife
A.2.2.5	Two flat blade screwdrivers, 150mm length

4.4.2 Tool group B

Section reference	Tool description
A.2.3.1	One 25mm wood chisel
A.2.3.2	One 6mm wood chisel
A.2.3.3	One flat blade screwdriver, 200mm length
A.2.3.4	One brick bolster
A.2.3.5	One cross point screwdriver, 200mm length
A.2.3.6	One cross head screwdriver, 200mm length
A.2.3.7	One interchangeable bit screwdriver, 200mm length, PH1 to PH3, PZ1 to PZ3, T5 to T30, H2 to H6, Hexagon heads range from 4mm to 10mm and slotted 3.5mm to 6.5mm bits. This screwdriver was only used for removal of exposed fixings if applicable.

4.4.3 Tool group C

Section reference	Tool description
A.2.4.1	One pair of self-gripping pliers
A.2.4.2	One torque gauge
A.2.4.3	One shallow curve head attachment
A.2.4.4	One hooked head attachment
A.2.4.5	A selection of steel self-cutting traction screws with deep thread and gimlet point, self-tapping thread with drill point, single and twin start.

4.5 Entry cylindroid

A 500±25mm long cylindroid of a diameter 50±0.5mm was used to confirm that any aperture created did not satisfy the entry definition as of section 3.9 in PAS 24:2022, for any relevant tests.



5. Test Procedures

5.1 Security hardware & cylinder test – part 3

The objective of this test was to assess the lock and cylinder and its resistance to manual attack when using the tools as described in section A.2 of PAS 24:2022.

The hardware was attacked for a total of 3 minutes which consisted of the following activities:

- i. Attempts to remove, dislodge or otherwise gain access to the lock mechanism by attacking any item protecting the lock mechanism.
- ii. Attempts to defeat the lock and expose mechanisms within the lock case.
- iii. If access to the internal workings of the hardware, cylinder or lock is gained, then attempts to defeat the lock and gain entry by operating any accessible mechanism.

5.2 Infill – mechanical test

The objective of this test was to assess the ability of any infill medium to withstand a load of 2.0 kN applied to the exterior face at each corner. The load was applied to the top left corner before working clockwise around the remaining corners of the infill medium. The force was applied over a period of between 10 and 20 seconds and the force maintained until it had been held for between 8 to 12 seconds.

The loads and loading sequence were in accordance with section B.4.4.3 of PAS 24:2022.

5.3 Mechanical loading test

The objective of this test was to assess whether the sample can withstand a specified sequence of mechanical loads without the entry definition being satisfied.

Mechanical loads were applied progressively and without shock over a period of 10 and 20 seconds. Once the target parallel-to-plane load was achieved, the load was held, and the perpendicular-to-plane loading applied. Once the perpendicular-to-plane load target was achieved the loads were held between 8 and 12 seconds before the loads were removed, without shock, over a period which did not exceed 20 seconds.

The loads and loading sequence were in accordance with section B.4.5 of PAS 24:2022.

5.4 Entry definitions

The performance of the door was measured against the entry definition as described in 3.10 PAS 24:2022.

During all tests, attempts were made to pass the 500 mm long cylindroid, of diameter 50 mm, through any aperture(s) created.



6. Test Results

6.1 Laboratory conditions

Prior to the start of the test, the laboratory conditions were measured as follows:

Date(s)	6 th October 2025
Temperature (°C)	19.9
Humidity (%RH)	47.3

Note: *The test samples were stored in a non-destructive environment at a temperature of 15 – 30°C for a minimum of 12 hours, testing was also conducted at those conditions.*

6.2 Locking condition

Before testing, the door was closed and locked in the most onerous condition based on its intended use and any keys were removed.

Tested locking condition	Active Leaf Locked & Key removed
--------------------------	----------------------------------

6.3 Security hardware & cylinder test – part 3

Attempts were made from the external face to operate, release and disengage the system hardware in order to gain entry through the sample in accordance with section A.3 of PAS 24:2022.

The entry definition was not satisfied during the attack time.

6.4 Infill – mechanical test

A series of loads were applied to the external face of the infill as defined in section B.4.4.3 of PAS 24:2022. A perpendicular-to-plane load of 2.0kN was applied and held for 8-12 seconds at each corner of the infill. Starting in the top left corner and working clockwise in turn and in a direction towards the inside.

The entry definition was not satisfied during the attack time.


6.5 Mechanical loading test

A series of loads were applied to the door leaf as defined in section B.4.5 of PAS 24:2022. The loading combinations used were as defined in table B.1 to table B.6 of PAS 24:2022 for the applicable door type and were applied as shown in table 2. The results are as follows:


Table 1 – Mechanical loading

Loading point		Parallel-to-plane load			Perpendicular-to-plane load			Result
		Target (kN)	Applied (kN)	Direction	Target (kN)	Applied (kN)	Direction	
1	Hinge	1.5	1.542	→	4.5	4.436	-	Pass
2	Hinge	1.5	1.558	→	4.5	4.424	-	Pass
3	Hinge	1.5	1.514	→	4.5	4.462	-	Pass
4	Double Hook	1.5	1.526	↓	4.5	4.412	-	Pass
4	Double Hook	1.5	1.550	↑	4.5	4.442	-	Pass
4	Double Hook	1.5	1.500	←	4.5	4.424	-	Pass
5	Hook	1.5	1.540	↑	4.5	4.480	-	Pass
5	Hook	1.5	1.482	←	4.5	4.416	-	Pass
6	Double Hook	1.5	1.530	↓	4.5	4.470	-	Pass
6	Double Hook	1.5	1.530	↑	4.5	4.404	-	Pass
6	Double Hook	1.5	1.490	←	4.5	4.428	-	Pass

Figure 2 – Loading points




7. System Drawings






Composite Door System Information



MXS 15/2 RITB

Inward Opening
Available in Gold & Mill Finish
Part M Compliant
Thermally Broken Prevents Condensation





----- END OF REPORT -----